

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Meadows Place, Texas; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Kaiti Vartholomaios, New York + 1(212) 438 0866; kaiti.vartholomaios@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Jennifer K Garza (Mann), Dallas (1) 214-871-1422; jennifer.garza@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Meadows Place, Texas; General Obligation

Credit Profile

Meadows Place GO

Long Term Rating

AA+/Stable

Upgraded

Meadows Place go bnds

Unenhanced Rating

AA+(SPUR)/Stable

Upgraded

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings raised its long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on Meadows Place, Texas' general obligation (GO) bonds to 'AA+' from 'AA'. The outlook is stable.

The upgrade reflects the city's improving market values, benefiting from its location in the broad and diverse Houston-The Woodlands-Sugarland metropolitan statistical area (MSA), along with its growing reserves and decreasing debt.

The bonds constitute direct and voted obligations of the city, payable from the levy and collection of a direct and continuing ad valorem tax, within the limits prescribed by law, on all taxable property located within its borders.

State statutes limit the maximum ad valorem tax rate for home rule cities to \$2.50 per \$100 of taxable assessed valuation (AV) for all city purposes. In fiscal 2019, the city levies 83.2 cents per \$100 of AV for the fourth year running. We rate the bonds on par with our view of the city's general creditworthiness, as the tax base supporting the obligations is coterminous with the city, and we see no unusual risks regarding the city's willingness to support the debt or the fungibility of resources.

The ratings reflect our opinion of the city's:

- Strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse MSA;
- Adequate management, with standard financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Very strong budgetary performance, with operating surpluses in the general fund and at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2017;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with a high available fund balance in fiscal 2017 of 88% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 102.8% of total governmental fund expenditures and 15.6x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Very strong debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 6.6% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 14.3% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as rapid amortization, with all debt

scheduled to be retired in 10 years; and

- Strong institutional framework score.

Strong economy

We consider Meadows Place's economy strong. The city, with an estimated population of 5,537, is in Fort Bend County 20 miles southwest of the Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land MSA, which we consider to be broad and diverse. It has a projected per capita effective buying income of 130% of the national level and per capita market value of \$65,674. Overall, market value grew by 5.8% over the past year to \$363.6 million in 2019. The county unemployment rate was 4.6% in 2017.

Reflecting the nature of employment opportunities available to residents locally and throughout Houston, the property tax base has grown steadily during the past few years. Most of the growth is expected to come from commercial projects since the residential area is fully built out. A former bankrupt mall covering 32,000 square feet was sold to new owners, and the new businesses are expected to generate additional property and sales tax. Officials continue to encourage the development of new local businesses such as corner shops and gas stations. Improvements to the city include reconstruction of the West Airport Boulevard, a new emergency training center, and a nature center, as well as improvements to the park facilities. Furthermore, the city has introduced e-payment options for utilities and municipal court citations to facilitate online payments.

Adequate management

We view the city's management as adequate, with standard financial policies and practices under our FMA methodology, indicating the finance department maintains adequate policies in some, but not all, key areas.

The city produces its budget conservatively, in part as a general best practice, but also to prepare for unexpected incidents such as burst water pipes due to shifting clay soils, such as which occurred in August 2011. The budget process includes review of five-year revenue and expenditure history, as well as departmental review of planned expenditures to set the budget for the year. Management uses actual and historical data for assumptions, and monitors sales tax and city revenue streams for budget assumptions.

There is an informal reserve policy in place, which has increased reserves to cover 11-17 months from six-to-nine months in 2016 to increase cushion for weather event risks such as Hurricane Harvey, since reimbursements from FEMA can take some time to come through.

The city does not have a long-term capital plan, but each department does assess needs and plans for projects independently to fit with annual budget; for example, in 2015, the street department implemented a \$5 per month road use fee which has enabled additional street repairs. The city lacks a formal debt policy and long-term financial plan.

Very strong budgetary performance

Meadows Place's budgetary performance is very strong, in our opinion. The city had operating surpluses of 5.7% of expenditures in the general fund and 4.8% across all governmental funds in fiscal 2017.

General fund balances have been in surplus since fiscal 2015, with fiscal 2017 ending at just under \$343,000, reflecting a slight uptick of property tax revenue. Estimates provided for fiscal 2018 indicate that revenue exceeded the budget,

while expenses remained under budget, with an approximate year-end net gain of \$600,000. Property tax and sales tax make up 27% and 11% of general fund revenue, respectively.

Officials do not anticipate any major budgetary challenges for 2019. The adopted general fund budget for fiscal 2019 will remain balanced, and a targeted one-time capital project is anticipated for street replacements and improvements.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

Meadows Place's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with a high available fund balance in fiscal 2017 of 88% of operating expenditures, or \$5.3 million. We expect the available fund balance to remain above 75% of expenditures for the current and next fiscal years, which we view as a positive credit factor.

The city has an informal fund balance policy to maintain a minimum of 11 months of general fund revenues in unassigned fund balance. It has historically maintained reserves well above this level. The tax rate has remained consistent since its decrease to 83.2 cents from 89.5 cents in 2013, partly reflecting the increased homestead exemption by state law to plateau the reserve level. This has allowed the city council to maintain the current high reserve level, which it continues to monitor.

The city has a history of outperforming its approved budget, and we believe that it is likely to maintain very strong reserves.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Meadows Place's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 102.8% of total governmental fund expenditures and 15.6x governmental debt service in 2017. In our view, the city has strong access to external liquidity if necessary, as demonstrated through prior issuance of GO debt.

Fund balances have been increasing since 2015, reaching \$6.6 million in fiscal 2017, and are maintained well above debt service levels, reflecting conservative budgeting practices. We do not view the city's investments as aggressive, with current investments held in state investment pools. The city does not have private placements nor exposure to any contingent liquidity risks.

Very strong debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, Meadows Place's debt and contingent liability profile is very strong. Total governmental fund debt service is 6.6% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 14.3% of total governmental fund revenue. All of the direct debt is scheduled to be repaid within 10 years, which is, in our view, a positive credit factor.

The city has approximately \$960,000 in net direct debt. Despite being authorized to issue an additional \$2.7 million, officials do not plan to issue more debt in the next 18 months.

Meadows Place's combined required pension and actual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) contributions totaled 2.1% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2017. The city made its full annual required pension contribution in 2017.

The city participates in the Texas Municipal Retirement System, which is administered by the State of Texas. The city's required pension contribution is its actuarially determined contribution, which is calculated at the state level, based on an actuary study. Using updated reporting standards in accordance with Governmental Accounting

Standards Board Statement No. 67, the city's net pension liability was \$1.8 million as of Dec. 30, 2017. The plan reported a funded ratio of 76.5%.

The city does not offer OPEBs.

Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Texas municipalities is strong.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' opinion that Meadows Place will likely maintain its very strong financial position due to stable revenues, strong reserves, and limited services, despite a lack of formalized long-term management policies. In addition, we believe the city's access to the broad and diverse Houston MSA provides additional rating stability. Therefore, we do not anticipate that the rating will change in the next two years.

Upside scenario

If continued economic expansion were to result in stronger tax base wealth comparable with higher rated peers, coupled with improvements in long-term planning practices and policies, we could raise the rating.

Downside scenario

If the city's financial performance were to significantly deteriorate, leading to sustained and significant decreases in reserves to levels no longer commensurate with those of peers, or if the debt position worsened, we could lower the rating.

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Incorporating GASB 67 And 68: Evaluating Pension/OPEB Obligations Under Standard & Poor's U.S. Local Government GO Criteria, Sept. 2, 2015

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.